UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/638,211	08/08/2003	Benjamin A. Pullen	2003-0483.01	5544
	7590 09/18/200 TERNATIONAL, INC		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD			HASSAN, AURANGZEB	
740 WEST NEV BLDG. 082-1	W CIKCLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LEXINGTON,	LEXINGTON, KY 40550-0999		2182	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

blambert@lexmark.com jpezdek@lexmark.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/638,211	PULLEN ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AURANGZEB HASSAN	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of)</li> <li>(b)  A proposed reply was received on <u>04 May 2009</u>, but it</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .				
rejection.	a does not somethate a proper reply a	macro, or the first (a) to the final				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.						
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8</li> </ol>		the statutory period of three months				
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of				
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) $\square$ No corrected drawings have been received.						
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review				
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:						
See Continuation Sheet						
	/Ilwoo Park/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 2182				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) **Notice of Abandonment** Part of Paper No. 20090910 Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Examiner attempted to contact Applicant Christine K. Garcia on the following dates: 9/10/2009 and 9/11/2009. On each of the attempts, Examiner was unable to reach the Applicant and left multiples messages that have not been returned. Therefore, the application is abandoned due to failure to respond in a timely manner.